

Approved 11.1.2016

MINUTES OF THE DRAPER CITY COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY, OCTOBER 18, 2016, IN THE DRAPER CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 1020 EAST PIONEER ROAD, DRAPER, UTAH

PRESENT: Mayor Troy Walker, and Councilmembers Bill Rappleye, Jeff Stenquist, Alan Summerhays, Marsha Vawdrey, and Michele Weeks

STAFF PRESENT: David Dobbins, City Manager; Mike Barker, City Attorney; Rachelle Conner, City Recorder; Hazel Dunsmore, Human Resource Director; John Eining, Deputy Police Chief; Russ Fox, Assistant City Manager; Keith Morey, Community Development Director; Rhett Ogden, Recreation Director; Glade Robbins, Public Works Director; and Bob Wylie, Finance Director

Dinner

Study Meeting

[6:03:56 PM](#)

1.0 Council / Manager Reports

[6:04:11 PM](#)

1.1 Update: Extension of 13200 South Highland Drive

Glade Robbins, Public Works Director, reviewed the comments staff received from the residents at the open house. They were as follows:

- Underground tunnel crossing at Porter Rockwell trail
 - All of the Councilmembers were interested in looking at a cost estimate
- Eliminate the horse trail
 - The Councilmembers were in favor of keeping the trail.
 - Councilmember Weeks asked about decreasing the size of the trail from five feet to three feet to eliminate the need to take as much property for right-of-way. Scott Cooley indicated they would still need the same amount of property. A three-foot trail might be problematic due to the canopy of the trees. Councilmember Weeks, Councilmember Rappleye, and Councilmember Summerhays were in favor of looking at the possibility of decreasing the width of the horse trail. Councilmember Weeks commented that the trees are more important than decreasing the size of the trail.
- Ability to use bark mulch instead of rock in parkstrips
 - Councilmember Rappleye, Councilmember Summerhays, and Councilmember Weeks were in favor of allowing bark mulch.
- Underground tunnel at Relation/Boulter Street
 - All of the Councilmembers were in favor of this. This is a priority over the Porter Rockwell trail crossing.

[6:17:36 PM](#)

1.2 110-Acre Parcel Access and Surplus

Russ Fox, Assistant City Manager, displayed a concept plan for the 110-acre parcel provided by the developer who bid on the parcel. The developer is having difficulty obtaining access from Alpine and Highland City, so he is requesting the City sell him approximately 30 acres for a roadway. He would develop one-acre lots along this roadway to help recoup the costs of building the road.

Subsequent to Council discussion, the Council wanted to know the price per square foot, a guarantee that the lot sizes along the roadway would be one-acre, and the width of the road. Mr. Fox advised the developer is still trying to work something out with Highland and Alpine. Councilmember Rappleye, Councilmember Stenquist, Councilmember Summerhays, and Councilmember Vawdrey were in favor of looking at it as a last resort. Councilmember Weeks was undecided due to not knowing the width of the roadway.

Mr. Fox indicated there is more privately owned property on top of SunCrest that does not have access. It would be difficult to provide services to this area. Mr. Fox displayed a map showing the parcels. One of the property owners is looking at doing a conservation easement on the property. The property owner is interested in developing cabin lots on the parcel, so they are not as restricted with the required improvements. The property owner also wondered whether the City would be interested in purchasing the property for open space. The entire Council was interested in looking at purchasing the property.

1.3 Park Ranger Duties and the Difference Between Special Functions Officer/Law Enforcement Officer

Rhett Ogden, Parks and Recreation Director, displayed the list of anticipated duties the Park Ranger position would be responsible for and discussed the difference with a Special Functions Officer (SFO) and a Law Enforcement Officer (LEO). Staff reiterated that a SFO could issue citations but could not make any arrests. An SFO would not carry any weapon, including pepper spray or a taser, and they would not wear a body camera. The LEO could do any enforcement needed.

Subsequent to Council and staff discussion, Councilmember Rappleye, Councilmember Summerhays, Councilmember Vawdrey, and Councilmember Weeks were interested in the Park Ranger - Special Functions Officer position. They discussed taking the part-time animal control position and making it full time or just making this a full-time position. Councilmember Stenquist and Mayor Walker were interested in having a Law Enforcement Officer.

Business Meeting

[7:07:48 PM](#)

1.0 Call to Order: Mayor Troy K. Walker

[7:08:03 PM](#)

2.0 Thought/Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance

[7:08:11 PM](#)

2.1 Paul Tonks offered the prayer.

[7:08:50 PM](#)

2.2 Keith Morey led the Pledge of Allegiance.

[7:09:16 PM](#)

3.0 Public Comments

To be considerate of everyone attending the meeting, public comments will be restricted to items not listed on this or a future agenda and limited to three minutes per person. Comments which cannot be made within these limits should be submitted in writing to the City Recorder prior to noon the day before the meeting. Comments pertaining to an item on the agenda should not be given at this time but should be held until that item is called.

[7:10:11 PM](#)

3.1 Josh Stika, resident, noted he believes the City Council really does care about the residents and wants the best for the city. He expressed appreciation to the Council for their service. He then indicated he feels the development on 13200 South has come without sufficient input from the people it will directly impact. He would like the City to consider a four-way stop sign where Shadowlands Lane and Akagi meet, so the children going to school can cross that area safely. He stated he drives slower on a two-lane road than a three-lane road, so he would like the Council to consider that due to the speed limit being raised to 30 miles per hour. There are two schools in the area, neighborhoods, and a change in elevation after the railroad tracks, which all merit additional safety considerations. He asked for this to be put on a formal agenda for further consideration.

[7:12:14 PM](#)

3.2 Matt Erickson, resident, spoke in reference to the recent ordinance passed that placed a six month moratorium on telecommunication infrastructure and how it relates to circuits.

[7:15:21 PM](#)

4.0 Consent Items

- a. **Approval of October 4, 2016, City Council Meeting Minutes**
- b. **Approval of Resolution #16-59, Text Amendment to Annual Leave and Sick Leave Policy.** Staff: Hazel Dunsmore
- c. **Approval of Resolution 16-63, Reconstituting the Members of the Tree Commission**

[7:15:46 PM](#)

4.1 Councilmember Weeks moved to pull Item C from the Consent Items. Councilmember Stenquist seconded the motion.

[7:16:08 PM](#)

4.2 A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Rappleye, Stenquist, Summerhays, Vawdrey, and Weeks voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.

[7:16:20 PM](#)

4.3 Councilmember Vawdrey moved to approve the Consent Items A and B. Councilmember Summerhays seconded the motion.

[7:16:34 PM](#)

4.4 A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Rapple, Stenquist, Summerhays, Vawdrey, and Weeks voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.

[7:16:49 PM](#)

4.5 Councilmember Weeks questioned why, after the City Council approved the ordinance changes last week, the other Councilmembers have decided to remove all of the members of the Tree Commission. There are currently only five members on the Tree Commission and four spots available. If there are other residents the Mayor feels would be a wonderful addition to the Tree Commission, there is plenty of room for the Mayor to include these individuals. She questioned the theory behind removing all the members of the Tree Commission.

[7:17:33 PM](#)

4.6 Councilmember Stenquist explained he has asked for this item to be included on the agenda because there are reasons the Council should re-evaluate all of the members of the Tree Commission and maybe start with a clean slate. The Mayor has the opportunity to pick the people he feels are the best qualified to be on it with the consent of the Council.

Councilmember Weeks advised she has checked, and in the history of Draper she has never seen that all of the members of a commission have been removed. When the City looked at the ordinance changes, the Historic Preservation Commission changed their ordinances two years ago, and at that time, the City Council did not remove all of the members of the commission. She stated everyone seemed to be fine with the commission two weeks ago, and now all of the sudden they are saying no. Councilmember Weeks then noted this was quite rude in the way it was done. She is the Councilmember who is in charge of the Tree Commission, and the other Councilmembers decided to remove all of the members of the Tree Commission without a phone call from any of the Councilmembers or the Mayor. She said they are removing all of the members of her commission. She stated she would not remove all of the members of the Parks and Trails Commission or the Historic Preservation Commission without at least a phone call explaining why they are removing all of the members of the commission. She thought that was done very underhandedly, very unprofessionally, and it makes her question the Open Doors Act. She asked whether the other Councilmembers are meeting in a quorum outside of this, because this is not the only time the other Councilmembers have made decisions and not included her, for instance, the Fire Chief. The Councilmembers all met with the new Fire Chief.

Mayor Walker asked Councilmember Weeks to keep this discussion to Item C.

Councilmember Weeks continued saying the rest of the Council needs to include her. She again asked what the reasons are to eliminate all of the members of her Tree Commission.

[7:19:42 PM](#)

4.7 Councilmember Summerhays indicated he did not know anything about this until Councilmember Stenquist just said what he said. He noted there has been another Board before this, this year, where all of the members of the Equestrian Board were removed and new members were appointed.

Councilmember Weeks stated she thought it was just established. Mayor Walker corrected her saying it was reconstituted, and they started over with it.

Councilmember Summerhays noted there was a precedent set with that.

Councilmember Weeks asked whether the Council liaison was informed prior to the removal of all of their members. Councilmember Summerhays stated he does not know, but he doubts it.

Mayor Walker explained it was on an agenda just like it is now.

[7:20:33 PM](#)

4.8 Councilmember Weeks stated this was decided on before, and she did not get a call from the Mayor or any member of the staff telling her they were removing all of the members of her Tree Commission.

Mayor Walker clarified that it has not been decided. That is the point of having it on the agenda. It has not been decided, it has just been proposed to be decided. The way it works, as everyone knows, two of the Council can put something on the agenda by calling the City Manager, or the Mayor can. He stated he did not put this item on the agenda, Councilmember Stenquist asked for it to be put on the agenda.

Councilmember Weeks stated she needs a reason why they are disbanding or eliminating all of the members of the Tree Commission. Mayor Walker again reiterated that it has not been decided. He does not want the record to reflect that it has been decided. That is what the Council is deciding tonight. The reason this item was placed on the agenda is so it can be decided. It cannot be decided otherwise. There has been no other decision making other than putting it on the agenda.

Councilmember Weeks wondered how they will decide this if they do not know the reason they want to remove all of the members of her Tree Commission or the City's Tree Commission.

Mayor Walker clarified that this is not Councilmember Weeks's Tree Commission. It is the City's Tree Commission. He noted no one has to give her a reason; they just have to

vote on it. She can ask for the reasons but they may not want to give her one. He asked if anyone wanted to address the question.

[7:21:48 PM](#)

4.9 Councilmember Stenquist advised he was the one that asked for this to be put on the agenda for discussion. He has heard concerns about some of the members of the Tree Commission, and he felt that based on that feedback it was something the Council should look at. Rather than singling people out, it would be better to start with a clean slate. The Mayor can then decide who he feels comfortable proposing to the City Council for approval.

[7:22:24 PM](#)

4.10 Councilmember Weeks indicated she was told “through the grapevine” and wanted to clarify that the City Council was going to remove members of the Tree Commission because the Council thought they might be involved in stealing trees on 1300 East. She said she spoke with the Police Department, and the case has been closed. No individual on the Tree Commission was even questioned or was even thought of in reference to stealing the trees on 1300 East. She said as she understands with 1300 East and the missing trees, they were sitting on a vacant lot for a long time, there was no communication, there were 29 extra trees, there was no communication about where the trees should be planted, they were sitting there without being watered, and they were open for the public, and the public decided to come and take some of the trees. They recovered 13 of the trees. If that is one of the reasons for this, she thinks that is sad. These individuals are very qualified, and they are all volunteers. She does not think that Draper City has treated them very well. For instance, they were going to come and speak in front of Draper City Council in October. They were informed four days before that their meeting had been moved up a month, and they had four days to get ready to inform the City Council of what the Tree Commission is about. These people are highly skilled and know a lot about trees. She noted she does not believe they get the respect from Draper City as they have had in the past. Even the ordinances they did have, Draper City would not allow them the control of the ordinances they did have up until two weeks ago. Now the Council is trying to eliminate all of the members of the Tree Commission and start over, and she has not been given a good reason why this is happening other than Councilmember Vawdrey asked for it in the beginning of September.

[7:24:30 PM](#)

4.11 Councilmember Summerhays expressed his belief that the Mayor can do this. Mayor Walker corrected Councilmember Summerhays saying this is not his doing. This is for the Council to do.

Councilmember Summerhays clarified that the Mayor interviews the potential members of the Tree Commission and appoints them.

Councilmember Weeks stated he has four members to do this. He has four open spots that he can chose whoever he wants to be on the Tree Commission.

[7:25:14 PM](#)

4.12 Councilmember Stenquist clarified that the Council needs to make it very clear that they appreciate everyone who volunteers for these boards and commissions because the City depends heavily upon them, and they appreciate their service to the community whether it is Parks and Trails, the Tree Commission, Historic Preservation, or Emergency Preparedness. A lot of volunteers do a lot of work for the City, and the Council appreciates them very much. At the same time, it is the responsibility of the Mayor and Council to choose the members of the commissions and make sure they have the right people involved.

Councilmember Weeks indicated they have chosen the members. The five individuals on the Tree Commission are members that the Mayor has appointed and the Council has approved.

Councilmember Stenquist continued saying this vote is about re-evaluating all of the members of the Tree Commission.

Councilmember Weeks asked why they are re-evaluating all of the members of the Tree Commission now.

Councilmember Stenquist explained there have been some concerns in the community about some of the members so it is better to re-evaluate all of the current members.

Councilmember Weeks said in order for the Tree Commission to accomplish their duties as set forth, they need Draper residents who have tree expertise. Those individuals need to know about soil and water or have worked with nurseries. The fact that an individual works for a nursery should not be a conflict of interest because it is not the nurseries that make the bid for these jobs. It is like Arrow Construction or the landscape companies that make the bids for the Draper City jobs. She does not think Draper City has even respected what the Tree Commission has to say to the recommendation they have been given in the past. The Planning Department has questioned why the commission is recommending trees, and it is the commission's job to do that. The City does not even appreciate what their job is. Councilmember Weeks said she has asked why they do not take the commission's recommendation and has been told they do not know why. If the City is going to have these people volunteer, they need to respect what they do, respect what they say, respect their knowledge, and not eliminate them without a reason. They should also tell the Councilmember that looks over the commission what is going on and why it is going on.

[7:28:00 PM](#)

4.13 Councilmember Stenquist noted it is not fair for Councilmember Weeks to characterize that the City has mistreated the Tree Commission in any way. The City appreciates the work they have done. They understand that their job is to provide recommendations for the right kind of trees. Draper prides itself on having a Tree City designation. Certainly, some of the criteria the Mayor and Council uses in evaluating who should be on the board

will be their expertise. They also want to make sure there are no conflicts of interest because they have a responsibility there from an ethical standpoint.

Councilmember Weeks stated she has looked at the police reports, and she does not see a conflict of interest. She then questioned why the City moved their meeting to have them speak with the City Council about what the Tree Commission does forward one month with a four day notice.

Councilmember Stenquist stated his recollection was that the City has tried to meet with them several times since the spring, and the commission kept moving the meeting.

[7:29:03 PM](#)

4.14 Mayor Walker asked whether any of the other Councilmembers wanted to respond to Councilmember Weeks's question. Otherwise, he is ready for a motion.

[7:29:14 PM](#)

4.15 Councilmember Stenquist moved to approve Resolution #16-63, reconstituting the members of the Tree Commission. Councilmember Summerhays seconded the motion.

[7:29:36 PM](#)

4.16 A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Rapple, Stenquist, Summerhays, and Vawdrey voting in favor. Councilmember Weeks voted no. The motion carried with a majority vote of 4 to 1.

[7:29:51 PM](#)

5.0 Public Hearing: Resolution #16-61, Declaring Approximately 1.34 Acres of Draper City Owned Property Located at Approximately 75 East Sivogah Court as Surplus and Authorizing the Sale of the Property

[7:30:31 PM](#)

5.1 Russ Fox, Assistant City Manager, explained this is part two of a surplus of property the City has done in this area. The City Council has an offer from General RV to purchase the property to expand their operations. Staff did not include the canal property in the surplus, so that is the action on the agenda tonight.

[7:31:37 PM](#)

5.2 Councilmember Weeks said traffic in Draper is an issue of hers. She is always concerned when the City is giving up a road that allows through traffic. She understands that they do not want a road there, but she does not want to give up the opportunity to have that road if it is needed twenty-five years from now. She questioned whether General RV would be open to just leasing the land from the City, so the City can keep the option of putting the road through. General RV could build what they want on the property and put their RVs there, but the City is not losing the ability to connect that road in the future. Mr. Dobbins explained that would be up to the Jensens. If the Jensens agreed, the City would have to guarantee that the property would be available to them for a large amount of time.

Councilmember Weeks stated she understands that. She just wants to insure that the City has not given up that possibility in the future. She is not looking at expanding the road within the next ten to fifteen years. She does not want to give up any through routes they might need in the future.

Mr. Fox indicated there was a concern expressed from a neighbor who lives in the Draper Hillside Homes subdivision that did not want the road to connect through.

Councilmember Weeks clarified that she is not looking at doing this within the next ten years. She just wants to keep the City's options open.

[7:33:42 PM](#)

5.3 Councilmember Summerhays questioned whether this road has a crash gate. Mr. Dobbins noted it does not.

Councilmember Summerhays said he does not know of any crash gates in that area that have gone away.

Councilmember Weeks reiterated that she is just trying to keep the City's options open in case they need it in twenty years. Instead of selling it, they can lease the property long term.

[7:34:51 PM](#)

5.4 Mayor Walker explained this is just a hearing to see if the City Council is willing to surplus the property. All of those other details will be worked out with the purchase agreement.

Councilmember Weeks asked if she surplused it whether they would be allowed to lease it or if they would only be allowed to buy it.

Mayor Walker stated surplussing the property would allow them to purchase the property. If they do not do that, the City cannot go any further with this.

Councilmember Weeks asked if she okays the surplus of this property whether she is losing her option to lease it. Mayor Walker stated she is not losing her option.

Mr. Dobbins explained they need to resolve this within two weeks.

[7:35:48 PM](#)

5.5 Councilmember Rappleye stated there was an option to put this road through and connect to 14285 South. The residents did not want the connectivity because they did not want the traffic. The neighbors were very adamant about it.

[7:36:27 PM](#)

5.6 Mayor Walker opened the public hearing.

[7:36:57 PM](#)

5.7 J. Michael Burrows, resident, expressed his opinion that any time the City can allow a business to expand, it helps the tax base and helps bring in money. He said to help satisfy the residents as they sell the property, it can be sold with an easement that would prohibit them from building along the easement. When the City builds roads in the future, they can buy that easement back.

[7:37:52 PM](#)

5.8 **Mayor Walker closed the public hearing.**

[7:37:57 PM](#)

5.9 **Councilmember Weeks moved to approve Resolution #16-61. Councilmember Vawdrey seconded the motion.**

[7:38:13 PM](#)

5.10 **A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Rappleye, Stenquist, Summerhays, Vawdrey, and Weeks voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.**

[7:38:26 PM](#)

6.0 **Action Item: Grange Subdivision Plat Amendment, Request to Amend the Draperville Plat to Create Two Residential Lots in the RA2 (Residential Agricultural, 20,000 Square Foot Lot Minimum) Zone on 1.01 Acres Located Generally at 581 East 12000 South**

[7:38:45 PM](#)

6.1 Mayor Walker explained the City Council heard this item at the last meeting. He asked whether the Councilmembers had any questions for Keith Morey, Community Development Director.

[7:38:53 PM](#)

6.2 Councilmember Weeks stated she understands they took care of the embankment issue. Mr. Morey explained the issue was with the drainage, and as staff looked into it, the drainage is not part of the proposal this evening. Public Works has resolved the issue by reassuring the neighbors that as the area is developed it will improve the situation and not make it worse.

[7:39:48 PM](#)

6.3 **Councilmember Rappleye moved to approve the Grange Subdivision Plat Amendment. Councilmember Summerhays seconded the motion.**

[7:40:06 PM](#)

6.4 **A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Rappleye, Stenquist, Summerhays, Vawdrey, and Weeks voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.**

[7:40:17 PM](#)

7.0 Action Item: Resolution #16-58, Amending the Consolidated Fee Schedule to be Effective October 19, 2016

[7:40:29 PM](#)

7.1 Bob Wylie, Finance Director, explained there are three items on the consolidated fee schedule that staff is proposing to change. The first two involve the changes to the Public Safety Impact Fee that was approved on July 5, 2016. The 90 day waiting period has expired, so the changes will now be in effect. The last item is for the geologic hazard reports. The staff would like to remove the wording “within the City’s Geologic Hazards Overlay Zone”.

[7:41:16 PM](#)

7.2 Councilmember Summerhays asked Mr. Wylie to display the costs they were changing. Mr. Wylie did so and explained these amounts came from the study Lewis Young Robertson and Burningham prepared for the City in July. All of the costs are a reduction to the residents.

Councilmember Summerhays stated he just wanted to reiterate that the City does not always raise rates.

Councilmember Stenquist explained the multi-family residential cost has increased slightly, but there is a pretty dramatic reduction for the rest of the fees.

Councilmember Summerhays noted the single-family residential fee went from \$309.68 to \$71.00 and the Office, service, light manufacturing went from \$541.82 per 1,000 square feet to \$47.00. He expressed that he is impressed and expressed appreciation to Mr. Wylie.

[7:42:25 PM](#)

7.3 Councilmember Rappleye questioned whether the items on the list that do not have any red by them will just be eliminated. Mr. Wylie explained they will be rolled into one of the other categories.

[7:42:51 PM](#)

7.4 Councilmember Stenquist wondered why they are changing the wording for the geotechnical reports.

Mr. Morey stated staff has been able to charge the fee in the geologic hazard area, but most areas in the City have some soil issue. This change allows staff to charge the fee if they find there is a need to.

Councilmember Stenquist said the Council gets feedback sometimes because of the extensive fees the residents have to pay to develop and build in Draper. He asked for clarification that the City would only charge them if they were actually in a geologic hazard area. Mr. Morey stated this would allow them to charge the fee anywhere in Draper. The Building Department sometimes requires the building to do boring to see if

there are any soil conditions on the property. If it is determined that there are any liquefaction problems, this fee can be assessed due to the extra work for the employees.

Councilmember Stenquist stated the fee would only be charged if it were determined that there was a problem. Mr. Morey stated that is correct.

[7:44:24 PM](#)

7.5 Councilmember Summerhays indicated there are areas in this town that have been determined to have liquefaction. He asked whether a single-family dwelling would have to be tested. Mr. Morey stated they would have to be tested because the engineers would have to design the house so it could withstand whatever liquefaction event might occur. At that point, the City's reviewers would be reviewing what the builder's engineer submitted.

[7:45:45 PM](#)

7.6 Councilmember Rappleye stated there is a guiding document that shows all of the hazards for the area, so based on that, they would pick up on which ones need the testing during the review.

[7:46:40 PM](#)

7.7 Councilmember Vawdrey moved to approve Resolution #16-58, amending the Consolidated Fee Schedule. Councilmember Summerhays seconded the motion.

[7:46:54 PM](#)

7.8 A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Rappleye, Stenquist, Summerhays, Vawdrey, and Weeks voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.

[7:47:07 PM](#)

8.0 Action Item: Resolution #16-62, Authorizing the Purchase of Equipment from the Traverse Ridge Special Service District (TRSSD)

[7:47:23 PM](#)

8.1 Mr. Fox explained the City previously entered into an agreement with the TRSSD for snow removal. Part of the agreement includes that when the City used the equipment purchased with TRSSD funds in other parts of the city, the City would track the usage and reimburse the District for that use. It has been somewhat difficult to monitor, so the City had appraisals done on the equipment to see if the City could purchase those pieces of equipment from the TRSSD. Both parties are in agreement, and the action tonight is for the City Council to approve the City purchasing this equipment. The snow blower is not a part of this purchase, as it is only used in the District. Mr. Fox pointed out that there are some pieces of equipment the City is not purchasing; however, staff is recommending the District surplus that property. The City will do that for them if they provide a letter authorizing the City to do that for them. The total purchase price is \$230,000 for all of the specified equipment.

[7:49:19 PM](#)

8.2 Councilmember Stenquist stated the City would purchase the equipment. He questioned whether the equipment would still be used in the District or would it just be used for other City purposes. Mr. Fox noted it would be used wherever it was needed. The City will just track the time and mileage the City spends doing any type of work in the TRSSD. It just simplifies the tracking.

[7:50:12 PM](#)

8.3 Councilmember Stenquist advised the City is purchasing the equipment so they no longer have to track it. Mr. Fox stated that is correct. The City also charges the District to lease space to store the equipment. That would help modify this as well.

[7:50:53 PM](#)

8.4 Councilmember Rappleye asked whether staff established the value. Glade Robbins, Public Works Director, advised they had two companies look at the equipment and come up with a value. The staff then averaged those values to come up with a total.

[7:51:21 PM](#)

8.5 Councilmember Weeks requested clarification that the City is getting the TRSSD out of leasing Draper City the use of their vehicles to do the TRSSD roads as well as other areas within the city. This simplifies the whole thing so the TRSSD understands how they are being billed and makes it simpler for the City to do the billing. Mr. Fox stated that is correct.

[7:51:48 PM](#)

8.6 Councilmember Summerhays expressed his opinion that the City should just sell the equipment that they have bids for and get rid of the equipment. If the City needs other equipment, they can just buy it.

Mr. Robbins noted the City does have a need for this equipment.

Councilmember Summerhays indicated there was one piece of equipment with a snow thrower on it that was very expensive. It cost approximately \$78,000, and the City is only getting \$26,000 out of it. Mr. Dobbins clarified that the City is actually purchasing this from the TRSSD.

[7:53:46 PM](#)

8.7 Councilmember Weeks moved to approve Resolution #16-62, authorizing the purchase of equipment from the Traverse Ridge Special Service District. Councilmember Rappleye seconded the motion.

[7:54:11 PM](#)

8.8 A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Rappleye, Stenquist, Vawdrey, and Weeks voting in favor. Councilmember Summerhays voted no. The motion carried with a majority vote of 4 to 1.

[7:54:21 PM](#)

9.0 Public Hearing: Resolution #16-60, Amending the FY2017 General Fund Budget

[7:54:30 PM](#)

9.1 Mr. Wylie explained there are ten items on the reopen budget. They were as follows:

- Engineering Overtime Budget
 - Developer for Hidden Canyon Estates requests an inspector be available outside of working hours. He is willing to pay the overtime costs.
 - Amount of amendment \$10,496 – payment from developer
- Upper Corner Canyon Road Connector
 - Utility construction
 - Amount of amendment \$191,963 – payment from developer
- Recreation Salary Adjustment
 - Parks and Recreation is requesting an increase in salary and wages for their recreation division.
 - Amount of amendment \$4,560 – budget transferred from Amphitheater budget
- Parks and Recreation Property Purchase
 - Purchase of property at 501 West 12300 South for Police/Parks and Recreation storage facility
 - Building improvements
 - Public Works improvements
 - Amount of amendment \$1,812,000 – funding will come from sale of Public Works property located at 75 East Sivogah Court
- 2 Million Gallon Tank
 - The operation of the existing water tank in Zone 1 has been reevaluated and additional storage is not required at this time. The adjustment is to close the current project and reallocate the budget for this back to the Water Fund balance
 - Amount of amendment \$2,000,000
- Debt Service Payment Transfer
 - The City recently refinanced the MBA Series 2007 Lease Revenue Bonds with new Lease Revenue Bonds Series 2016. This request is to transfer from the Fire Impact Fee for debt service
 - Amount of amendment \$242,871 – payment from Fire Impact Fee Fund
- Lone Peak Parkway
 - The project is now complete. There is funding left over from the \$300,000 the County contributed to the project that will be reimbursed to the developer who incurred costs for infrastructure improvements
 - Amount of amendment \$174,831 – payment from unused project funds
- Storm Water Agreement
 - Reimburse the developer for upgrading the City's storm drain system for better system operation

- Amount of amendment \$5,725 – funding from the Storm Water Fund Balance
 - Public Works Equipment
 - Purchasing the TRSSD equipment
 - Amount of amendment \$230,000 – funding from the General Fund Balance
 - Staffing Chart
 - Changing the Grade level for the Police Chief, Deputy Police Chief, and the Fire Chief

[7:58:29 PM](#)

9.2 Councilmember Weeks stated she knows the City tries to keep the salary of the employees in the middle range when compared to other cities. She asked where the current salaries are. Mr. Dobbins explained they are below the average. This amendment would change the pay scale.

Councilmember Weeks expressed she is impressed with the Police Chief, Deputy Chief, and the Police Department right now. Since she has been in Draper, they have really turned it around. The public relations and how the residents feel about the Police Department has really improved in the last couple of years especially since these two have been on board.

[7:59:16 PM](#)

9.3 Councilmember Summerhays asked why the City is not building the 2 million gallon tank while they have the money in the budget instead of waiting on it.

Mr. Robbins explained they do not need the additional capacity right now, and it might be several years down the road before they need it.

Councilmember Summerhays expressed concern that the cost could be double that several years down the road.

Mr. Dobbins clarified that this was not the full cost. This was just to purchase the land and design the tank. They would need to add an additional \$2 million to construct it.

Councilmember Summerhays questioned where the land is. Mr. Dobbins stated they have not identified any land yet. It has to be above Public Works. One of the things they were looking at is the possibility of the prison property developing. They will have to expand, so rather than constructing a smaller tank right now, they will construct a larger tank.

Councilmember Summerhays asked whether the current capacity can cover all of the new developments that have been approved. Mr. Dobbins responded that the Engineering staff has looked at it and has determined that the City can hold off on this.

[8:02:37 PM](#)

9.4 Councilmember Rappleye noted the City is reimbursing the developer on the Lone Peak project. He asked who the developer is.

Mr. Dobbins replied it is White Mountain Business District LLC. The agreement with them stated that any funds the City did not spend on the construction of Lone Peak Parkway would be used to reimburse the developer for improvements to the road itself. This is for utility costs the developer covered.

[8:03:31 PM](#)

9.5 **Mayor Walker opened the public hearing. No one came forward to speak, so Mayor Walker closed the public hearing.**

[8:03:46 PM](#)

9.6 **Councilmember Rappleye moved to approve Resolution #16-60, amending the FY 2017 General Fund Budget. Councilmember Weeks seconded the motion.**

[8:04:11 PM](#)

9.7 **A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Rappleye, Stenquist, Summerhays, Vawdrey, and Weeks voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.**

[8:04:25 PM](#)

10.0 **Adjourn to a Closed-Door Meeting to Discuss Property Acquisition, Litigation, and/or the Character, Professional Competence, or Physical or Mental Health of an Individual**

[8:04:47 PM](#)

10.1 **Councilmember Summerhays moved to adjourn to a closed-door meeting to discuss litigation and property acquisition. Councilmember Vawdrey seconded the motion.**

Councilmember Weeks asked about Council/Manager reports and was told it is not on the agenda this evening.

[8:05:00 PM](#)

10.2 **A roll call vote was taken with Councilmembers Rappleye, Stenquist, Summerhays, Vawdrey, and Weeks voting in favor. The motion passed unanimously.**

10.3 The meeting at 8:05 p.m.